

**From:** Quearney Carol (ST) **On Behalf Of** Daniels Leon  
**Sent:** 09 January 2015 12:26  
**To:** 'idrisfrancis@fightbackwithfacts.com'  
**Cc:** 'mayor@london.gov.uk'  
**Subject:** Claims of speed camera benefit

Dear Mr Francis,

Thank you for your emails to my office and letter to the Mayor. I am responding to you directly and I write to clarify TfL's position on the matter. I am sorry for the delay in my reply.

**The effectiveness of safety cameras in reducing casualties at the locations where they are implemented is well established. This includes fatal and serious casualties. TfL is therefore committed to the continued use of safety cameras in London to keep the Capital's roads safe for all road users.**

Our position on the effectiveness of safety cameras is also supported by a number of studies, including the detailed four-year evaluation of the National Safety Camera Programme, produced for the Department for Transport by University College London and Dr Mountain of Liverpool University in 2005. Importantly, this study shows that safety cameras are effective in reducing casualties, even after trend effects and regression towards the mean are taken into account.

We understand that the effectiveness of cameras is likely to vary by location for statistical reasons as well as other factors, including the speed limit in place, road geometry and traffic flows. Approaches to evaluating the effectiveness of speed cameras, and road safety interventions more broadly, have changed over time.

As we make the investment in upgrading London's safety we will work with leaders in the field to ensure that we continue to be at the forefront of evidence based evaluation.

Yours sincerely,

**Leon Daniels**

**Managing Director – Surface Transport**